An S_N 1-type Reaction To Form the 1,2-Dioxepane Ring: Synthesis of 10,12-Peroxycalamenene

Justin A. Hilf, Luke W. Witthoft, and K. A. Woerpel*

Department of Chemistry, New York University, New York, Ne[w Y](#page-5-0)ork 10003, United States

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [The synthesi](#page-5-0)s of the sesquiterpene endoperoxide natural product 10,12-peroxycalamenene has been achieved. Featured transformations include an intramolecular Heck reaction to build the fused bicyclic core and a cobaltcatalyzed peroxidation to install the peroxide functional group. The final step involved an S_N1 -type ring closure catalyzed by DDQ to construct the 1,2-dioxepane ring.

ENTRODUCTION

10,12-Peroxycalamenene (1, Figure 1) was found to be an active constituent in traditional medicines derived from the

Figure 1. 10,12-Peroxycalamenene (1).

roots of nut-grass (Cyperus rotundus).¹ Extracts from this plant have been used to treat a number of ailments, including blood disorders and leprosy.² From 10 kg [of](#page-5-0) the dried tubers of the common plant, 4.5 mg of the natural product 1 was isolated, which was found to b[e](#page-5-0) active against Plasmodium falciparum at low-micromolar concentrations (EC₅₀ = 2.3 μ M).¹ No synthesis of this molecule has appeared, however, likely because the seven-membered ring peroxide motif, which is prese[nt](#page-5-0) in a number of natural products with biological activity, remains a synthetic challenge.^{1,3−13}

Considering the general challenges of synthesizing peroxides and in particular [s](#page-5-0)e[ve](#page-5-0)n-membered ring peroxides, 14 we considered that peroxide 1 could serve as a vehicle for the discovery of methods of preparing cyclic peroxides a[nd](#page-5-0) the isolation of larger quantities of the material. In this paper, we report the synthesis of 10,12-peroxycalamenene (1) by an S_N1 type ring closing reaction.

A retrosynthetic analysis of 10,12-peroxycalamenene is shown in Scheme 1. It was anticipated that an $S_{\rm N}$ 1-like ring closure of hydroperoxide 2 would lead to the target 1. The success of this step was not assured, however. Few methods for cyclizing hydroperoxides by an S_N1 mechanism have been reported, and those reactions often suffer from low yields.^{5,15,16} The conditions for the planned cyclization would need to be mild, because decomposition of both cyclic and linear per[oxides](#page-5-0) has been observed in some cases to proceed through an S_N1

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic Analysis

mechanism.17,18 In addition, the benzylic peroxide would be prone to Criegee rearrangements under acidic conditions.¹ Contingent [upo](#page-5-0)n the development of successful cyclization conditions, synthesis of the precursor hydroperoxide 2 could [be](#page-5-0) achieved by a cobalt-catalyzed peroxidation of the alkene obtained from 3. The fused bicyclic structure could be formed by an intramolecular Heck reaction of aryl triflate 4. ²⁰ The ketone 4 could be prepared by alkylation of acid derivative 5 followed by conversion of the carbonyl group to th[e a](#page-5-0)cetyl group.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The synthesis began with a Claisen rearrangement starting from p-cresol 6 (Scheme 2). Allylation of 6 gave allyl ether 7, which underwent Claisen rearrangement in high yield.²¹ Protection followed b[y oxidative](#page-1-0) cleavage of the carbon−carbon double

Received: June 11, 2015 Published: July 9, 2015

bond provided the corresponding aldehyde 10, which was oxidized to form carboxylic acid $11^{22,23}$ It was anticipated that converting carboxylic acid 11 to an amide would enable alkylation of the resulting enolate, [and if](#page-5-0) a chiral auxiliary were introduced, the alkylated product could be formed with control of the absolute stereochemistry.

The choice of chiral auxiliary for the alkylation was limited by the nature of the substrate. The arylacetic acid 11 would have a particularly acidic CH_2 group, ²⁴ which would facilitate deprotonation, but the resulting alkylation product would be prone to racemization during subs[eq](#page-5-0)uent transformations. This problem remains a challenge in synthesis. Difficulties have been encountered in forming chiral arylacetic acid derivatives using the Evans²⁵ oxazolidinone and the Oppolzer²⁶ camphorsultam in similar substrates. Our own initial studies with the oxazolidi[non](#page-5-0)e auxiliary were unsuccessful. [Co](#page-5-0)nsequently, we chose the Myers pseudoephedrine auxiliary because the amide enolate is particularly nucleophilic.²⁷ Pseudoephedrine amide 12 was formed by generating the acid chloride of acid 11 and coupling with $(+)$ - $(1S,2S)$ -pseud[oep](#page-5-0)hedrine $(Scheme 3)$.²⁷ Asymmetric alkylation of amide 12 using lithium diisopropylamide furnished alkylated amide 13 as a mixture of ami[de](#page-5-0) rotamers. Variable-temperature NMR spectroscopy revealed

Scheme 3. Completion of the Carbon Skeleton

the coalescence of the two isomers, thus confirming that the reaction was diastereoselective. The configuration of amide 13 was assigned on the basis of analogy to previous studies.²⁷

The removal of the pseudoephedrine auxiliary proved to be problematic. All attempts to remove the auxiliary by conv[ers](#page-5-0)ion to the corresponding methyl ketone 15 led to racemization of the product. Myers has also observed a loss of stereochemical integrity upon attempted preparation of arylacetic acid derivatives.²

Although we had not been able to devise an enantioselective synthesis o[f k](#page-5-0)etone 15, the use of the amide auxiliary did enable us to advance the synthesis. Enolate alkylation proceeded cleanly, and the conversion of the amide 13 to the methyl ketone 15 occurred in high yield upon addition of methyllithium²⁷ and subsequent deprotection with tetra-nbutylammonium fluoride. Effort was made to develop a synthesis of [ket](#page-5-0)one 15 by other routes, but these attempts were unsuccessful. Given the relatively high overall yield of ketone 15 (57% from commercially available compounds), the decision was made to complete the synthesis using the present route.

The closure of the second ring to construct the tetrahydronaphthalene core of the molecule proceeded, as planned (Scheme 1), by an intramolecular Heck reaction.²⁰ Phenol 15 was first converted to aryl triflate 4 and then cyclized with a pal[ladium cat](#page-0-0)alyst to provide tetrahydronaphthalene [16](#page-5-0) (77%) and small amounts of its alkene isomer $\Delta_{9,10}$ -16 (14%, Scheme 4).²⁰ This isomeric mixture was of no consequence:

Scheme 4. [Sy](#page-5-0)nthesis of Peroxide 2

both products converged to a single regioisomer of oxidized product 17 as a 60:40 mixture of diastereomers upon cobaltcatalyzed peroxidation.^{28,29}

The introduction of the hydroperoxide functional group at C12 (Scheme 1) r[equir](#page-5-0)ed some optimization. Initially, olefination of the silyl peroxide 17 was considered because the sil[ylperoxy gro](#page-0-0)up would be suitable for the planned ring closure. The peroxide functional group, however, was too sensitive for ketone 17 to be converted to the alkene without decomposition.³⁰ Because the benzylic carbon did not yet need

a peroxide substituent, that group was reduced with Ph_3P to provide silyl ether 3^{31} Ketone 3 was converted to the alkene 18 using dimethyltitanocene, 32 and subsequent cobalt-catalyzed peroxidation furnis[hed](#page-5-0) silyl peroxide 19.²⁸

The silyl peroxide 19 i[nit](#page-5-0)ially appeared to be an unsuitable synthetic intermediate. It was anticipat[ed](#page-5-0) that this compound might undergo the desired ring closure under acidic conditions, but exposure to Brønsted acids led only to extensive decomposition. Upon treatment with tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride, a compound was formed that originally appeared to be the hydroperoxide 2 (Scheme 5), albeit with much decom-

Scheme 5. Peroxide Ring Closure Mechanism

position. That hydroperoxide was sensitive to standing in an NMR tube as a solution in CDCl₃. Acquiring an NMR spectrum of the same sample after standing for 1 day revealed the presence of a small quantity of a compound with spectroscopic characteristics similar to the target molecule, 1. Presumably, the ring closure had been catalyzed by the small amount of acid present in $CDCl₃$.³³ Even with this success, however, it was not possible to control this cyclization with an added Brønsted acid; only decomp[osi](#page-5-0)tion was observed.

The key cyclization by an S_N1 -like reaction was ultimately successful, but under conditions that were unanticipated. Upon treatment of silyl peroxide 19 with a catalytic quantity of 2,3 dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) in the presence of water, the silyl groups were removed, forming the hydroperoxide 2 (Scheme 5).³⁴ These conditions also initiated the intramolecular S_N1 -type ring closure, forming 10,12peroxycalamenene in 60% yi[eld](#page-5-0) from 19. Although we do not know the mechanistic details of this reaction, it is likely that, in an aqueous environment, DDQ acts as both a single-electron acceptor and as a weak acid.³⁵ These conditions likely led to the formation of a benzylic cation, which can be trapped by the hydroperoxyl group to for[m th](#page-5-0)e seven-membered ring peroxide (Scheme 5).

■ CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the first synthesis of the natural product 10,12 peroxycalamenene 1 was accomplished with an overall yield of 17%. The synthesis uses an intramolecular Heck reaction to form the core structure, cobalt-catalyzed peroxidation reactions to introduce the peroxide functionalities, and a DDQ-catalyzed ring-closing reaction to complete the bicyclic seven-membered ring peroxide.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 400, 500, and 600 MHz on NMR spectrometers. 13C NMR spectra were recorded at 100, 125, and 150 MHz using NMR spectrometers. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data are reported as follows: chemical shifts reported in ppm on the δ scale, referenced to residual solvent (¹H NMR, CDCl₃, δ 7.26; ¹³C NMR, CDCl₃, δ 77.23); multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, $t =$ triplet, $q =$ quartet, $m =$ multiplet, $br =$ broad); coupling constants in Hz; and integration. Due to difficulties with purification for certain products, only distinctive peaks are listed in tabulated ¹H NMR and $13C$ NMR spectral data, as indicated. Structures were assigned using a combination of HSQC, DEPT, and COSY experiments. 13C NMR spectra were collected with broadband decoupling on the proton channel. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using a FT-IR spectrometer. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were acquired on a time-of-flight spectrometer using either atmospheric pressure chemical ionization source (APCI) or electrospray ionization source (ES) and were obtained by peak matching. Optical rotations were obtained using a digital polarimeter. Liquid chromatography was performed using forced flow (flash chromatography) with the indicated solvent system on silica gel (SiO₂) 60 (230–400 mesh). Analytical thin-layer chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 Å $F₂₅₄$ plates. Solvents used in reactions were dried and degassed using a solvent purification system before use. Aqueous solutions were prepared from nanopure water with a resistivity over 18 MΩ cm. All reactions were run under an atmosphere of nitrogen in glassware that was flame-dried under vacuum, unless otherwise stated.

Silyl Ether 9. To a solution of phenol²¹ 8 (9.56 g, 64.5 mmol) and imidazole $(6.59 \text{ g}, 96.8 \text{ mmol})$ in CH_2Cl_2 (100 mL) was added a solution of tert-butyldimethylsilyl chlor[ide](#page-5-0) (12.7 g, 83.9 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL). The mixture was stirred for 24 h at 25 °C. Saturated aqueous $NaHCO₃$ (130 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 \times 100 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes: EtOAc = $85:15$) to afford silyl ether 9 (16.2) g, 96%) as a clear colorless oil: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.93 (d, $= 2.1, 1H$, 6.87 (dd, J = 8.1, 2.0, 1H), 6.68 (d, J = 7.8, 1H), 5.96 $(ddt, J = 17.2, 10.7, 6.6, 1H), 5.02 - 5.06 (m, 2H), 3.33 (d, J = 6.6, 2H),$ 2.25 (s, 3H), 1.00 (s, 9H), 0.21 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 151.2 (C), 137.4 (C), 130.8 (CH), 130.5 (C), 130.4 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 118.4 (CH), 115.5 (CH₂), 34.6 (CH₂), 26.0 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH3), 18.4 (C), −4.0 (CH3); IR (ATR) 3078, 3005, 1639, 1255 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (TOF MS APCI+) *m/z* calcd for C₁₆H₂₇OSi (M + H)⁺ 263.1826, found 263.1827. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₆OSi: C, 73.22; H, 9.98. Found: C, 73.19; H, 10.16.

Aldehyde 10. To a 0 °C solution of olefin 9 (1.52 g, 5.77 mmol) in acetone (32 mL) was added NMO (50% w/w in H_2O , 4.4 mL, 19 mmol), followed by $OsO₄$ (4% w/w in H₂O, 2 mL, 0.3 mmol). The solution was warmed to 25 °C and stirred for 12 h. Saturated aqueous $Na₂S₂O₃$ (40 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. The mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3×40 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (1 \times 40 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. The resulting crude oil was taken on without further purification: ¹H NMR (only diagnostic peaks reported, 600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.96 (d, J = 2.0, 1H), 6.91 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.3, 1H), 6.71 (d, J = 8.2, 1H), 3.92–3.93 (m, 1H), 3.63 (dd, J = 11.3, 3.3, 1H), 3.48 (dd, J = 11.3, 6.4, 1H), 2.76 (dq, J = 13.5, 5.4, 2H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 1.01 (s, 9H), 0.23 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (only diagnostic peaks reported, 150 MHz, CDCl3) δ 151.6 (C), 132.2 (CH), 130.9 (C), 128.3 (C), 128.1 (CH), 118.7 (CH), 72.6 (CH), 66.4 (CH₂), 34.8 (CH₂), 26.0 (CH₃), 20.6 (CH_3) , 18.4 (C), -4.0 (CH₃).

To a solution of crude diol 21 (1.71 g, 5.77 mmol) in acetone/ H_2O (1:1, 40 mL) was added sodium periodate (1.48 g, 6.93 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 15 h. Saturated aqueous $NaHCO₃$ (40 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 40 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes:EtOAc = 93:7) to afford aldehyde 10 (1.37 g, 90% over two steps) as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ

9.68 (t, $J = 2.2$, 1H), 6.98 (dd, $J = 7.8$, 1.7, 1H), 6.94 (d, $J = 2.2$, 1H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.2, 1H), 3.59 (d, J = 2.2, 2H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 0.98 (s, 9H), 0.23 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 200.4 (CH), 151.9 (C), 132.2 (CH), 130.8 (C), 129.3 (CH), 123.1 (C), 118.3 (CH), 45.8 (CH₂), 25.9 (CH₃), 20.6 (CH₃), 18.4 (C), -4.0 (CH₃); IR (ATR) 2819, 2717, 1727, 1269 cm⁻¹; HRMS (TOF MS ES+) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{25}O_2Si$ $(M + H)^+$ 265.1618, found 265.1628.

Carboxylic Acid 11. To a 0 $^{\circ}$ C solution of aldehyde 10 (8.56 g, 32.4 mmol) in t-BuOH (81 mL) was added 2-methyl-2-butene (13.7 mL, 130 mmol). A solution of sodium chlorite (8.79 g, 97.2 mmol) and $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (13.4 g, 97.2 mmol) in H_2O (81 mL) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 25 °C and stir for 16 h. The mixture was quenched with aqueous 1 M HCl (160 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3×160 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(1 \times 160 \text{ mL})$, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes: EtOAc with $0.5%$ AcOH additive = 95:5) to afford acid 11 (7.36 g, 81%) as a white solid: mp 59–60 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.24 (br s, 1H), 7.26 (d, J = 2.0, 1H), 6.96 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.0, 1H), 6.71 (d, J = 8.2, 1H), 3.60 (s, 2H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 0.99 (s, 9H), 0.23 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.7 (C), 151.6 (C), 132.0 (CH), 130.7 (C), 129.3 (CH), 124.3 (C), 118.3 (CH), 36.3 (CH₂), 25.9 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃), 18.42 (C), -4.01 (CH₃); IR (thin film) 3417, 2927, 1716, 1504, 1271, 1244 cm[−]¹ ; HRMS (TOF MS ES+) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{24}NaO_3Si$ $(M + Na)^+$ 303.1392, found 303.1389. Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{24}O_3Si$: C, 64.24; H, 8.63. Found: C, 64.33; H, 8.42.

Amide 12. To a 0 °C solution of acid 11 (1.88 g, 6.56 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (66 mL) was added oxalyl chloride (0.733 mL, 8.53 mmol). One drop of DMF was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min, warmed to 25 °C, and stirred for an additional 60 min. The resulting mixture was concentrated to afford crude acid chloride 22, which was taken on immediately: ¹H NMR (only diagnostic peaks reported, 600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.01 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.3, 1H), 6.96 (d, J = 2.3, 1H), 6.74 (d, J = 8.2, 1H), 4.08 (s, 2H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.00 (s, 9H), 0.24 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (only diagnostic peaks reported, 150 MHz, CDCl3) δ 172.0, 151.9, 131.9, 130.6, 130.1, 122.7, 118.1, 48.6, 25.9, 20.6, 18.4, −4.1; IR (ATR) 1802, 1272 cm⁻¹. .

To a solution of $(+)$ - $(1S, 2S)$ -pseudoephedrine $(1.41 \text{ g}, 8.53 \text{ mmol})$ in CH_2Cl_2 (21 mL) was added triethylamine (1.30 mL, 9.85 mmol). The mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and a solution of acid chloride 22 $(1.96 \text{ g}, 6.56 \text{ mmol})$ in CH₂Cl₂ (8.0 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was warmed to 25 °C and stirred for 16 h. H_2O (30 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes: EtOAc = $60:40$) to afford amide 12 (2.81 g, quantitative over two steps) as a white solid: mp 113−114 °C; [α]²⁰ +62.6 (MeOH, c 8.18);
¹H NMB (7:3 rotamer ratio, 600 MHz, CDCl) δ 7 19−7 35 (m, 5H) ¹H NMR (7:3 rotamer ratio, 600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.19–7.35 (m, 5H), 7.05 (d, $J = 1.6$, 0.3H), 7.00 (d, $J = 1.9$, 0.7H), 6.93 (dd, $J = 8.2$, 2.4, 1H), 6.76 (d, $J = 8.2$, 0.3H), 6.70 (d, $J = 8.2$, 0.7H), 4.58 (t, $J = 7.6$, 0.7H), 4.47−4.52 (m, 0.7H), 4.42 (dd, J = 9.1, 3.1, 0.3H), 4.19 (br s, 1H), 3.90 (dd, J = 9.1, 6.8, 0.3H), 3.86 (d, J = 15.4, 0.3H), 3.73 (d, J = 15.4, 0.3H), 3.64 (dd, $J = 19.6$, 15.6, 1.4H), 2.94 (s, 0.9H), 2.76 (s, 2.1H), 2.25 (s, 2.1H), 2.23 (s, 0.9H), 1.08 (d, $J = 7.0$, 2.1H), 0.99 (s, 9H), 0.79 (d, J = 6.7, 0.9H), 0.22 (s, 1.8H), 0.21 (s, 4.2H); ¹³C NMR (7:3 rotamer ratio, asterisk denotes minor rotamer peaks, 150 MHz, CDCl3) δ 174.3 (C), 173.0* (C), 150.9 (C), 150.4* (C), 142.5 (C), 141.2* (C), 131.2* (C), 130.8 (C), 130.5 (CH), 130.1* (CH), 128.71 (CH), 128.65* (CH), 128.53* (CH), 128.51 (CH), 128.4* (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.1* (CH), 126.6 (CH), 126.0* (C), 125.2 (C), 119.1* (CH), 118.4 (CH), 76.8 (CH), 75.6* (CH), 58.50* (CH), 58.47 (CH), 36.3 (CH₂), 35.9* (CH₂), 32.8 (CH₃), 26.78* (CH₃), 26.77* (C), 25.9 (C), 20.8 (CH3), 20.7* (CH3), 18.4 (C), 15.4* (C), 15.1* (CH₃), 14.5 (CH₃), -4.0 * (CH₃), -4.1 (CH₃); IR (ATR) 3269, 2928, 1607, 1501, 1252, 904, 698, 646 cm⁻¹; HRMS (TOF MS ES+) m/z calcd for $C_{25}H_{37}NNaO_3Si$ $(M + Na)^+$ 450.2435, found 450.2425. Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₃₇NO₃Si: C, 70.21; H, 8.72. Found: C, 70.35; H, 8.62.

Alkylated Amide 13. To a −78 °C solution of diisopropylamine (1.63 mL, 11.6 mmol) in THF (6.4 mL) was added n-BuLi (2.4 M in hexanes, 4.5 mL, 11 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 30 min. A 0 \degree C solution of amide 12 (2.20 g, 5.14 mmol) in THF (17 mL) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 30 min. The mixture was warmed to 0 $^{\circ}$ C, and 4-bromo-1-butene (1.04 mL, 10.3 mmol) was added dropwise over 5 min. The reaction mixture was warmed to 25 °C and stirred for 16 h. Saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (24 mL) was added, and the resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3×24) mL). The combined organic layers were dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes:EtOAc = $65:35$) to afford alkylated amide 13 (2.09 g, 84%) as a yellow oil: $[\alpha]^{20}$ p +73.4 (MeOH, c 0.995); ¹H NMR (9:1 rotamer ratio, 600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.21-7.37 (m, 5H), 7.10 (d, J = 1.8, 0.9H), 6.93 (s, 0.1H), 6.89 (dd, $J = 8.2, 2.2, 0.9H$), 6.82 (d, $J = 8.3, 0.1H$), 6.70 (d, J = 8.2, 0.9H), 6.68–6.69 (m, 0.1H), 5.76–5.87 (m, 1H), 4.91−5.01 (m, 2H), 4.54 (br s, 0.9H), 4.41 (br s, 0.9H), 4.30−4.34 (m, 0.1H), 4.17 (dd, J = 9.1, 4.7, 0.1H), 4.08 (dd, J = 9.3, 4.6, 0.9H), 3.93−3.97 (m, 0.1H), 2.92 (s, 0.3H), 2.62 (s, 2.7H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 2.13−2.22 (m, 2H), 1.90−1.98 (m, 1H), 1.52−1.60 (m, 1H), 1.07 (s, 2.7H), 1.01 (s, 0.9H), 1.01 (s, 8.1H), 0.93 (s, 0.3H), 0.25 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.9 (C), 149.9 (C), 142.4 (C), 138.6 (CH), 131.1 (C), 129.9 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 126.6 (CH), 117.6 (CH), 114.8 (CH₂), 76.4 (CH), 57.5 (CH), 41.6 (CH), 33.7 (CH₂), 32.6 (CH₂), 26.0 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃), 18.4 (C), 14.1 (CH₃), −3.8 (CH₃); IR (ATR) 3405, 2930, 1623, 1499, 1256 cm⁻¹; HRMS (TOF MS ES+) m/z calcd for C₂₉H₄₃NNaO₃Si $(M + Na)^+$ 504.2904, found 504.2906. Anal. Calcd for C₂₉H₄₃NO₃Si: C, 72.30; H, 9.00. Found: C, 72.48; H, 9.03.

Phenol 15. To a -78 °C solution of amide 13 (2.18 g, 4.53 mmol) in diethyl ether (90 mL) was added methyllithium (1.5 M in Et_2O , 7.6 mL, 11 mmol). The mixture was warmed to 0° C and stirred for 4 h, whereupon diisopropylamine (0.640 mL, 4.50 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for an additional 15 min. A solution of acetic acid in Et₂O (10% v/v, 90 mL) was added, and the mixture was warmed to 25 °C. Saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (200 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 100 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated. The resulting crude oil was taken on without further purification: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.91 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.2, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 2.2, 1H), 6.74 $(d, J = 8.2, 1H), 5.77$ (ddt, $J = 17.0, 10.2, 6.7, 1H), 4.97$ (dq, $J = 17.1$, 1.6, 1H), 4.93 (ddt, $J = 10.2, 2.3, 1.2, 1H$), 4.12 (t, $J = 7.3, 1H$), 2.23 $(s, 3H)$, 2.16 (dddd, J = 13.5, 9.2, 7.5, 6.0, 1H), 2.01 $(s, 3H)$, 1.98– 2.05 (m, 1H), 1.90−1.96 (m, 1H), 1.64 (dddd, J = 13.3, 9.4, 7.1, 6.1, 1H), 1.03 (s, 9H), 0.28 (s, 3H), 0.26 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3) δ 208.7 (C), 151.2 (C), 138.6 (CH), 130.8 (C), 129.3 (C), 129.2 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 118.2 (CH), 114.9 (C), 51.0 (CH), 31.9 $(CH₂)$, 30.3 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₃), 26.0 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃), 18.5 (C), -3.9 (CH₃), -4.0 (CH₃); IR (ATR) 2930, 1716, 1500, 1268 cm⁻¹ ; HRMS (TOF MS ES+) m/z calcd for C₂₀H₃₃O₂Si (M + H)⁺ 333.2244, found 333.2249. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₂O₂Si: C, 72.23; H, 9.70. Found: C, 72.31; H, 9.69.

To a solution of crude silyl ether 14 (1.51 g, 4.53 mmol) in THF (45 mL) was added tetrabutylammonium fluoride (1.0 M in THF, 11 mL, 11 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 3 h and then concentrated. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes:EtOAc = $75:25 \rightarrow 50:50$) to afford phenol 15 (0.97 g, 98% over two steps) as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.96 (s, 1H), 6.95 (dd, $J = 8.1, 2.8, 1H$), 6.84 (d, $J = 2.8, 1H$), 6.77 (d, $J = 8.1$, 1H), 5.77 (ddt, J = 16.9, 10.2, 6.5, 1H), 4.98−5.02 (m, 2H), 3.87 (t, J = 7.5, 1H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 2.11−2.16 (m, 1H), 1.95−2.02 (m, 2H), 1.87−1.93 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.8 (C), 152.6 (C), 137.9 (CH), 130.6 (CH), 130.2 (C), 129.5 (CH), 123.6 (C), 117.4 (CH), 115.6 (CH₂), 54.9 (CH), 31.8 (CH₂), 30.0 (CH_3) , 29.3 (CH_2) , 20.6 (CH_3) ; IR (ATR) 3362, 2926, 1694, 1509, 1355, 911 cm⁻¹; HRMS (TOF MS ES+) m/z calcd for C₁₄H₁₈NaO₂ $(M + Na)^+$ 241.1199, found 241.1206. Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_{18}O_2$: C, 77.03; H, 8.31. Found: C, 76.86; H, 8.07.

Triflate 4. To a 0 °C solution of phenol 15 (0.045 g, 0.20 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1.0 mL) was added triethylamine (0.045 mL, 0.27 mmol). Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (0.037 mL, 0.27 mmol) was added dropwise over 10 min, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h. Water (2 mL) was added, and the mixture was warmed to 25 °C. The mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 1 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes: EtOAc = $96:4$) to afford triflate 4 (0.069 g, 97%) as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.19 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 7.14 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.2, 1H), 7.07 (d, J = 2.2, 1H), 5.76 (ddt, J = 17.0, 10.2, 6.7, 1H), 4.97−5.02 (m, 2H), 4.05 $(t, J = 7.2, 1H)$, 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.19 (dddd, $J = 13.6, 8.9, 7.6, 6.0, 1H$), 2.12 (s, 3H), 2.00−2.07 (m, 1H), 1.92−1.99 (m, 1H), 1.65−1.71 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.8 (C), 145.6 (C), 139.3 (C), 137.5 (CH), 131.7 (C), 129.87 (CH), 129.84 (CH), 121.5 (CH), 118.7 (q, J = 312, CF₃), 115.6 (CH₂), 50.6 (CH), 31.6 (CH₂), 31.4 (CH₃), 30.0 (CH₂), 21.1 (CH₃); ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl₃) δ −73.8 (s); IR (ATR) 2931, 1720, 1421, 1358, 1216, 1168, 1141 cm[−]¹ ; HRMS (TOF MS ES+) m/z calcd for C₁₅H₁₇F₃NaO₄S (M + Na)⁺ 373.0692, found 373.0680. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₇F₃O₄S: C, 51.42; H, 4.89. Found: C, 51.44; H, 4.90.

Enones 16 and $\Delta_{9,10}$ **-16.** To a sealed bomb were added K_2CO_3 (0.705 g, 5.10 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.134 g, 0.510 mmol), and palladium (II) acetate $(0.038 \text{ mg}, 0.17 \text{ mmol})$, followed by a solution of triflate 4 (0.596 g, 1.70 mmol) in acetonitrile (22 mL). The sealed bomb was heated at 80 °C for 20 h and then cooled to 25 °C. The mixture was filtered through a plug of silica, eluted with CH_2Cl_2 (75 mL), and concentrated. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes:EtOAc = $85:15$) to afford an inseparable mixture of isomers 16 and $\Delta_{9,10}$ -16 (20:3, 0.312 g, 91%) as a clear colorless oil (note that isomeric ratios vary greatly in repeated experiments). 16: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.58 (d, J = 8.1, 1H), 7.16 (d, J = 8.1, 1H), 6.82 (s, 1H), 5.47 (m, 1H), 4.96 (m, 1H), 3.82 (t, J = 6.5, 1H), 2.56–2.63 (m, 1H), 2.43–2.50 (m, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.10 (q, J = 6.3, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.5 (C), 142.2 (C), 138.0 (C), 133.7 (C), 132.5 (C), 129.8 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 124.9 (C), 123.6 (CH), 122.7 (C), 108.3 (CH₂), 54.5 (CH), 30.4 (CH₂), 28.1 (CH₃), 21.3 (CH₃), 19.2 (CH₂). $\Delta_{9,10}$ -16: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.17 (d, J = 7.8, 1H), 7.09 (d, J = 7.8, 1H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 5.72−5.73 (m, 1H), 3.53 (dd, J = 7.5, 3.7, 1H), 2.70− 2.77 (m, 1H), 2.47−2.54 (m, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 2.00− 2.01 (m, 3H); 13C NMR (only diagnostic peaks reported, 100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 129.7 (CH), 123.6 (C), 122.7 (CH), 52.2 (CH), 28.4 $(CH₂)$, 26.6 (CH₂). Mixture: IR (ATR) 2932, 1704, 1353, 1152, 881, 821 cm⁻¹; HRMS (TOF MS ES+) m/z calcd for C₁₄H₁₆NaO (M + Na)⁺ 223.1099, found 223.1093. Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₆O: C, 83.96; H, 8.05. Found: C, 83.85; H, 7.90.

Silyl Peroxide 17. A flask containing 1,2-dichloroethane (12 mL) was sparged with oxygen for 30 min. To a separate flask were added isomers 16 and $\Delta_{9,10}$ -16 (0.963 g, 4.81 mmol) and Co(acac)₂ (0.247 mg, 0.962 mmol). The reaction flask was flushed with oxygen, and the oxygenated solvent was added. Triethylsilane (1.92 mL, 12.0 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 24 h under a balloon of oxygen. The mixture was concentrated, and the resulting residue was taken up in CH_2Cl_2 and filtered through a plug of silica eluted with $CH₂Cl₂$ (125 mL). The filtrate was concentrated to afford silyl peroxide 17 (1.42 g, 85%) as a clear colorless oil. Characterization was performed using a 60:40 mixture of diastereomers: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46 (d, J = 8.0, 0.4H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.0, 0.6H), 7.08− 7.09 (m, 1H), 6.80 (s, 0.4H), 6.78 (s, 0.6H), 3.80 (t, $J = 5.8$, 0.6H), 3.65 (dd, $J = 7.7, 7.3, 0.4H$), 2.52 (ddd, $J = 13.9, 7.7, 3.3, 0.4H$), 2.38 (ddd, J = 13.9, 7.6, 3.2, 0.6H), 2.30 (s, 1.2H), 2.29 (s, 1.8H), 2.16− 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.17 (s, 1.8H), 2.02 (s, 1.2H), 1.90−2.00 (m, 1H), 1.73 $(\text{ddd}, I = 12.9, 10.8, 3.1, 0.6H), 1.60 (\text{ddd}, I = 13.7, 10.3, 3.2, 0.4H),$ 1.55 (s, 3H), 0.93−0.96 (m, 9H), 0.61−0.67 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.4 (C), 210.4 (C), 138.0 (C), 137.6 (C), 136.1 (C), 135.7 (C), 134.7 (C), 134.5 (C), 129.6 (CH), 129.2 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 128.24 (CH), 128.22 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 80.99 (C), 80.96 (C), 54.7 (CH), 53.8 (CH), 31.2 (CH₂), 30.5 (CH₂), 28.5 (CH₃), 27.3 (CH_3) , 26.4 (CH_3) , 25.8 (CH_3) , 22.84 (CH_2) , 22.80 (CH_2) , 21.21 (CH_3) , 21.19 (CH₃), 6.90 (CH₃), 6.89 (CH₃), 3.99 (CH₂), 3.97 (CH2); IR (ATR) 2955, 2877, 1709, 1164, 522 cm[−]¹ ; HRMS (TOF

MS ES+) m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{32}NaO_3Si$ $(M + Na)^+$ 371.2013, found 371.2013.

Silyl Ether 3. To a solution of silyl peroxide 17 (0.056 g, 0.16 mmol) in toluene (0.40 mL) was added triphenylphosphine (0.059 g, 0.22 mmol). The mixture was heated to 100 °C and stirred for 24 h. Upon cooling to 25 °C, H_2O (2 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 2 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/Et₂O = 80:20) to afford silyl ether 3 (0.042 g, 79%) as a clear colorless oil. Characterization was performed on an inseparable 60:40 mixture of diastereomers: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.51 (d, J = 8.3, 0.6H), 7.49 (d, J = 8.4, 0.4H), 7.06− 7.08 (m, 1H), 6.78 (s, 0.4H), 6.69 (s, 0.6H), 3.81−3.82 (m, 0.6H), 3.68 (t, J = 6.5, 0.4H), 2.29 (s, 1.2H), 2.27 (s, 1.8H), 2.18−2.29 (m, 1H), 2.12 (s, 1.2H), 2.11 (s, 1.8H), 1.88−2.06 (m, 2.6H), 1.77−1.81 (m, 0.4H), 1.56 (s, 1.8H), 1.53 (s, 1.2H), 0.88−0.91 (m, 9H), 0.46− 0.58 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3) δ 210.9 (C), 210.7 (C), 141.8 (C), 141.3 (C), 136.8 (C), 136.7 (C), 132.2 (C), 131.9 (C), 129.5 (CH), 128.7 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 127.1 (CH), 127.0 (CH), 72.8 (C), 72.4 (C), 54.3 (CH), 53.7 (CH), 37.7 (CH₂), 37.3 (CH₂), 32.5 (CH₃), 31.9 (CH₃), 27.8 (CH₃), 27.5 (CH₃), 23.9 (CH_2) , 23.1 (CH_2) , 21.14 (CH_3) , 21.13 (CH_3) , 7.24 (CH_3) , 7.26 (CH₃), 6.84 (CH₂), 6.79 (CH₂); IR (ATR) 2952, 2875, 1707, 1159, 904, 733 cm⁻¹; HRMS (TOF MS ES+) m/z calcd for C₂₀H₃₂NaO₂Si $(M + Na)^+$ 355.2064, found 355.2070.

Olefin 18. To ketone 3 (0.011 g, 0.034 mmol) was added a solution of Cp_2TiMe_2 (5% w/v in THF/toluene, 0.4 mL, 0.1 mmol). The mixture was heated at 65 °C and stirred for 24 h. Upon cooling to 25 °C, the mixture was filtered through a plug of silica eluted with CH_2Cl_2 (8 mL). The filtrate was concentrated to afford olefin 18 (9.6) mg, 86%) as a clear colorless oil. Characterization was performed using on an inseparable 60:40 mixture of diastereomers: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.0, 0.6H), 7.44 (dd, J = 8.0, 0.9, 0.4H), 6.99−7.00 (m, 1H), 6.85−6.86 (m, 1H), 4.91−4.92 (m, 1H), 4.81(m, 0.6H), 4.57 (m, 0.4H), 3.52 (dd, J = 10.2, 6.0, 0.6H), 3.40 (t, J = 6.4, 0.4H), 2.27 (s, 1.2H), 2.26 (s, 1.8H), 1.90−2.07 (m, 2.6H), 1.78−1.85 (m, 1H), 1.72−1.76 (m, 0.4H), 1.70 (s, 1.2H), 1.58 (s, 1.8H), 1.52 (d, J = 1.4, 1.2H), 1.50 (d, J = 1.1, 1.8H), 0.87−0.92 (m, 9H), 0.46–0.57 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.3 (C), 148.5 (C), 142.4 (C), 141.3 (C), 136.7 (C), 136.2 (C), 136.1 (C), 136.0 (C), 129.5 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 127.21 (CH), 127.19 (CH), 126.6 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 113.9 (CH₂), 113.8 (CH₂), 73.6 (C), 72.8 (C), 48.3 (CH), 47.3 (CH), 38.6 (CH₂), 37.3 (CH₂), 33.3 (CH₃), 32.2 (CH₃), 26.8 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₂), 21.3 (CH₃), 21.2 (CH₃), 20.5 (CH₃), 18.8 (CH₃), 7.29 (CH₃), 7.27 (CH₃), 6.90 (CH₂), 6.88 $(CH₂)$; IR (ATR) 2950, 2875, 1111, 1070, 1038, 1006, 743, 724 cm⁻¹; HRMS (TOF MS ES+) m/z calcd for C₂₁H₃₄NaOSi (M + Na)⁺ 353.2271, found 353.2269.

Silyl Peroxide 19. A flask containing 1,2-dichloroethane (0.20 mL) was sparged with oxygen for 30 min. A separate flask containing olefin 18 (0.013 g, 0.040 mmol) and $Co(acc)_2$ (2 mg, 8 μ mol) was flushed with oxygen. The oxygenated solvent was added to the reaction mixture. Triethylsilane (0.016 mL, 0.10 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 15 h under a balloon of oxygen. The mixture was concentrated, and the resulting residue was taken up in CH_2Cl_2 and filtered through a plug of silica eluted with CH_2Cl_2 (25 mL). The filtrate was concentrated to afford silyl peroxide 19 (0.018 g, 95%) as a clear colorless oil. Characterization was performed on an inseparable 60:40 mixture of diastereomers: ^{1}H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.57–7.58 (m, 0.6H), 7.50 (m, 0.4H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.0, 0.6H), 7.40 (d, $J = 8.0$, 0.4H), 6.97–7.00 (m, 1H), 3.41 (dd, $J = 10.1$, 7.0, 0.6H), 3.34 (t, J = 7.1, 0.4H), 2.30 (s, 1.2H), 2.29 (s, 1.8H), 1.79− 2.04 (m, 3.4H), 1.69 (ddd, J = 13.3, 10.1, 3.8, 0.6H), 1.53 (s, 1.2H), 1.48 (s, 1.8H), 1.37 (s, 1.2H), 1.35 (s, 1.8H), 1.02−1.05 (m, 9H), 0.88−0.91 (m, 12H), 0.73−0.78 (m, 6H), 0.46−0.58 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.4 (C), 141.3 (C), 136.4 (C), 135.5 (C), 135.4 (C), 135.2 (C), 131.7 (CH), 130.9 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 125.9 (CH), 125.8 (CH), 87.0 (C), 86.9 (C), 73.3 (C), 72.4 (C), 42.1 (CH), 42.0 (CH), 38.6 (CH₂), 38.4 (CH₂), 32.3 (CH_3) , 31.9 (CH₃), 25.0 (CH₃), 24.8 (CH₃), 24.1 (CH₂), 22.4 (CH₂),

21.8 (CH₃), 21.3 (CH₃), 21.2 (CH₃), 20.5 (CH₃), 7.30 (CH₃), 7.29 (CH_3) , 7.01 (CH₃), 7.00 (CH₃), 6.89 (CH₂), 6.88 (CH₂), 4.14 (CH₂), 4.13 (CH2); IR (ATR) 2954, 2876, 1014, 794, 727 cm[−]¹ ; HRMS (TOF MS ES+) m/z calcd for $C_{27}H_{50}NaO_3Si_2$ (M + Na)⁺ 501.3191, found 501.3186.

10,12-Peroxycalamenene $1¹$ To a solution of silyl peroxide 19 $(0.050 \text{ g}, 0.10 \text{ mmol})$ in THF/H₂O $(9.1 \text{ v/v}, 0.73 \text{ mL})$ was added a solution of 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (9.5 mg, 0.042 mmol) in THF/H₂O (9:1 v/v, 0.73 mL). The mixture was stirred for 16 h and then concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes:EtOAc = $95:5$) to afford 10,12-peroxycalamenene 1 (0.015 g, 60%) as a light brown solid: mp 64−66 °C; $^1\rm H$ NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.15 (d, J = 7.6, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 7.6, 1H), 6.92 (s, 1H), 2.77−2.78 (m, 1H), 2.59 (br s, 1H), 2.35−2.37 (m, 1H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 1.64−1.75 (m, 2H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 1.01 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 142.8 (C), 138.6 (C), 137.7 (C), 128.8 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 123.0 (CH), 83.7 (C), 83.5 (C), 51.1 (CH) , 33.4 (CH_2) , 25.9 (CH_3) , 24.8 (CH_3) , 23.3 (CH_3) , 23.1 (CH_3) , 21.9 (CH₂); IR (ATR) 2976, 2934, 1077, 809 cm^{−1}; HRMS (TOF MS APCI+) m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{21}O_2$ (M + H)⁺ 233.1536, found 233.1526. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₀O₂: C, 77.55; H, 8.68. Found: C, 77.56; H, 8.87. These results matched with those previously published.¹

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Spectral data. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/ acs.joc.5b01326.

■ [AUTHOR I](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01326)[NFORMATION](http://pubs.acs.org)

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: kwoerpel@nyu.edu

Notes

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:kwoerpel@nyu.edu)peting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation (CHE-0848121). We thank Dr. Chin Lin (NYU) for assistance with NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Thebtaranonth, C.; Thebtaranonth, Y.; Wanauppathamkul, S.; Yuthavong, Y. Phytochemistry 1995, 40, 125−128.
- (2) Srivastava, R. K.; Singh, A.; Shukla, S. V. J. Biol. Act. Prod. Nat. 2013, 3, 166−172.
- (3) Bach, R. D.; Ayala, P. Y.; Schlegel, H. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 12758−12765.
- (4) Chaturvedi, D.; Goswami, A.; Saikia, P. P.; Barua, N. C.; Rao, P. G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 435−454.
- (5) Cointeaux, L.; Berrien, J.-F.; Mahuteau, J.; Trân Huu-Dâu, M. E.; Cicéron, L.; Danis, M.; Mayrargue, J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2003, 11, 3791−3794.
- (6) Dembitsky, V. M.; Gloriozova, T. A.; Poroikov, V. V. Mini-Rev. Med. Chem. 2007, 7, 571−589.
- (7) Dussault, P. H.; Lee, H.-J.; Niu, Q. J. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 784−785.
- (8) Haraldson, C. A.; Karle, J. M.; Freeman, S. G.; Duvadie, R. K.; Avery, M. A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1997, 7, 2357−2362.
- (9) Hu, X.; Maimone, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5287−5290. (10) Jin, H.-X.; Zhang, Q.; Kim, H.-S.; Wataya, Y.; Liu, H.-H.; Wu, Y. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 7699−7711.
- (11) Kajikawa, S.; Noiri, Y.; Shudo, H.; Nishino, H.; Kurosawa, K. Synthesis 1998, 1457−1462.
- (12) Posner, G. H.; Wang, D. S.; Gonzalez, L.; Tao, X. L.; Cumming, ́ J. N.; Klinedinst, D.; Shapiro, T. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 815− 818.

(13) Tokuyasu, T.; Masuyama, A.; Nojima, M.; McCullough, K. J. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 1069−1075.

- (14) The synthesis of five- and six-membered ring peroxides are more common: Terent'ev, A. O.; Borisov, D. A.; Vil', V. A.; Dembitsky, V. M. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2014, 10, 34−114.
- (15) Bloodworth, A. J.; Eggelte, H. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1981, 3272−3278.
- (16) Gemma, S.; Kunjir, S.; Sanna Coccone, S.; Brindisi, M.; Moretti, V.; Brogi, S.; Novellino, E.; Basilico, N.; Parapini, S.; Taramelli, D. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 5949−5953.
- (17) Benson, O., Jr.; Demirdji, S. H.; Haltiwanger, R. C.; Koch, T. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 8879−8886.
- (18) Sato, E.; Ikeda, Y.; Kanaoka, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1987, 35, 507−513.
- (19) Leffler, J. E.; Scrivener, F. E., Jr. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 1794− 1796.
- (20) Stadler, D.; Bach, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7557− 7559.
- (21) White, W. N.; Gwynn, D.; Schlitt, R.; Girard, C.; Fife, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 3271−3277.
- (22) Pappo, R.; Allen, D. S., Jr.; Lemieux, R. U.; Johnson, W. S. J. Org. Chem. 1956, 21, 478−479.
- (23) Bal, B. S.; Childers, W. E., Jr.; Pinnick, H. W. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 2091−2096.
- (24) Bunting, J. W.; Stefanidis, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4008−4017.
- (25) Versteeg, M.; Bezuidenhoudt, B. C. B.; Ferreira, D.; Swart, K. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 1317−1318.
- (26) Oppolzer, W.; Darcel, C.; Rochet, P.; Rosset, S.; De Brabander, J. Helv. Chim. Acta 1997, 80, 1319−1337.
- (27) Myers, A. G.; Yang, B. H.; Chen, H.; McKinstry, L.; Kopecky, D. J.; Gleason, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 6496−6511.
- (28) Tokuyasu, T.; Kunikawa, S.; Abe, M.; Masuyama, A.; Nojima, M.; Kim, H.-S.; Begum, K.; Wataya, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 7361− 7367.
	- (29) Isayama, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1990, 63, 1305−1310.
	- (30) Dussault, P.; Sahli, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 5117−5120.
- (31) For mechanistic studies of phosphine-mediated reductions of silyl peroxides, see: Harris, J. R.; Haynes, M. T., II; Thomas, A. M.; Woerpel, K. A. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 5083−5091.
- (32) Petasis, N. A.; Bzowej, E. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6392− 6394.
- (33) Kuwahara, Y.; Zhang, A.; Soma, H.; Tsuda, A. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3376−3379.
- (34) Tanemura, K.; Suzuki, T.; Horaguchi, T. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1992, 2997−2998.
- (35) Raina, S.; Singh, V. K. Synth. Commun. 1995, 25, 2395−2400.